From: Allen, Tim

To: A38 Derby Junctions

Subject: A38 Derby Junctions NSIP ExA's First Questions for deadline 1 on 5th November our ref PL00350203

Date: 05 November 2019 16:46:25

A38 Derby Junctions NSIP

ExA's First Questions for deadline 1 on 5th November

Historic England Response

11.1	ES Chapter 6 [APP-044]	Table 6.2 sets out the criteria to determine the value of heritage assets. Do you have any comments regarding the values placed on the designated heritage assets in this table?	We hav	ve no comments.
11.3	ES Chapter 6 [APP-044] NPSNN	a) Having regard to the advice at paragraphs 5.130 and 5.137 of the NPSNN and paragraph 200 of the NPPF, does the Proposed Development take any opportunities to enhance heritage assets or their settings? b) Is there anything else that could be reasonably achieved?	a) b)	Not that we have identified. We have not identified additional works which might reasonably be achieved in association with the scheme beyond direct minimisation and mitigation of impacts as already covered.
11.5	ES Chapter 6 [APP-044] ES Appendix 6.1 Heritage Impact Assessment for the WHS [APP- 173]	Table 7.3 of the Heritage Impact Assessment (page 65) and paragraphs 7.2.5 7.2.13 deal with the impact of the Proposed Development on the Historic Landscape. Amongst other things, they find that the Little Eaton junction is within the setting of the WHS. Reference is made to the visual impact of the embankment. a) Are you content that the Heritage Impact Assessment provides a robust assessment of the effect of the embankment on the	a) b)	Yes we are content they are sufficient. Yes we are content they are sufficient.

		character of the "relic landscape" which contributes to the Outstanding Universal Value of the WHS? b) Comment on whether the Heritage Impact Assessment provides a robust assessment of the effect of the Proposed Development from relevant viewpoints?	
11.6	ES Chapter 6 [APP-044] Additional Submission by DCiC [APP-017] ES Figure 2.10 [APP-66]	Concern has been expressed regarding the effect of the flood compensation area on the Outstanding Universal Value of the WHS. a) DCiC – Please clarify what aspect of the flood compensation area gives rise to your concern. How could it be improved? b) Applicant – The sections in Figure 2.10 indicate that the proposed ground profile would be formed by straight or flat surfaces. Is there scope to make the shape of the profile more organic to reflect local topography? c) Historic England – Do you have any concern regarding this element of the Scheme?	c) We do not have concerns with this aspect of the scheme.
11.8	ES Chapter 6 [APP-044],	ES paragraphs 6.15.33 and 6.15.34 summarise the effects of the proposal on the settings Breadsall Conservation and the Church of All Saints. Are you content that the effects of the embankment in terms of its height and siting, associated slip road and signage and the lighting at the junction	Yes.

Little Eaton junction have	
been adequately	
considered?	

Yours sincerely Tim Allen

Tim Allen Development Advice Team Leader (North) Midlands Region

Historic England | The Axis 10 Holliday Street | Birmingham | B1 1TF



We are the public body that helps people care for, enjoy and celebrate England's spectacular historic environment, from beaches and battlefields to parks and pie shops.

Follow us: Facebook | Twitter | Instagram Sign up to our newsletter

This e-mail (and any attachments) is confidential and may contain personal views which are not the views of Historic England unless specifically stated. If you have received it in error, please delete it from your system and notify the sender immediately. Do not use, copy or disclose the information in any way nor act in reliance on it. Any information sent to Historic England may become publicly available. We respect your privacy and the use of your information. Please read our full privacy policy for more information.